

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,662	10/28/2003	Jeffrey A. Mitcheltree	116762.00029	4065
21324	7590 09/09/2005		EXAMINER	
HAHN LOESER & PARKS, LLP			WATSON, ROBERT C	
One GOJO Pl	aza	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 300 AKRON, OH	I 44311-1076		3723	

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u></u>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/695,662	MITCHELTREE, JEFFREY A.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert C. Watson	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	L. lely filed the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Au	<u> </u>					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-15 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
,	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement					
o)[_] Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
		\ (d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
		·				
Q						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/15/04.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ratent Application (PTO-152)				
	, — —					

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The phrase, "screwdriver style" in the preamble of claims 1 and 8 is vague and indefinite. The body of the claim fails to recite sufficient structure to characterize a screwdriver. For example, a screwdriver commonly has a shank having a blade end. It is unclear if applicant intends to claim a shank having a blade end or not.

Accordingly, the metes and bounds of claim are indeterminate of scope.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Tsai.

Tsai shows a screwdriver style pry bar having a handle member 1, an elongated shank 2, and an impact cap 3,4. Portions of the impact cap shown in Figure 4 are seen to be rounded. The handle is disclosed as being made from "insulated material" and made by "injection molding". The examiner takes Official Notice that handles that are made of insulated material and made by injection molding are commonly made from plastic. Accordingly, to make the handle member 1 of Tsai from plastic would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made in view of the fact that materials with those properties are commonly made from plastic and this is an

Application/Control Number: 10/695,662

Art Unit: 3723

inexpensive way to provide a handle with this property. Column 2, lines 24-28 indicate that the the metal cap 4 and the shank 2 are made from an electrically conductive material. The examiner takes Official Notice that an electrically conductive material is metal and that screwdriver shanks are commonly made from metal. Accordingly to make the cap 4 and shank 2 from metal would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made in view of the fact that metal is commonly used for screwdriver shanks and metal is commonly used on impact receiving materials. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to provide the tool with the requisite strength and wear characteristics that are common when metal is used.

Claim 12-15 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR

1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 8/18/05.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert C. Watson whose telephone number is 571 272-4498. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs., 5:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail III can be reached on 571 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,662

Art Unit: 3723

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rcw

ROBERT C. WATSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER